

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re Application of:

**Jerding, et al.**

Serial No.:

**09/693,288**

Filed:

**October 20, 2000**

For:

**Media-on-Demand Rental Duration  
Management System**

Group Art Unit:

**2614**

Examiner:

**Beliveau, Scott B.**

Docket No.:

**A6686 (191910-1560)**

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

The Interview Summary mailed September 18, 2006, (Paper No./Mail Date 20060912)  
has been carefully considered.

**AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT**

It is believed that no extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required,  
beyond those which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper.  
However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this  
paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required  
therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to deposit  
account no. 20-0778.

**REMARKS**

This is a full and timely response to the Interview Summary mailed September 18, 2006, (Paper No./Mail Date 20060912)

Applicants wish to express their sincere appreciation for the time that Examiner Beliveau spent with Applicants' Representative, Karen G. Hazzah, during a telephone discussion on September 12, 2006 regarding the rejections of record. Applicants first note that the Interview Summary uses the terms "prior art". However, Applicants did not, and do not currently, admit that the cited references are actually prior art in the present application. Consequently, Applicants contend that the interview did not result in the presently pending claims being any narrower than is explicitly stated in the claim language itself.

Applicants note that the list of "All participants" in the Interview Summary is incorrect. Karen G. Hazzah participated in the Interview rather than Glen Brown. The "Identification of prior art discussed" in the Interview Summary is also incorrect. The reference discussed was *Goode et al.* rather than *Gordon et al.* Applicants agree with the "Substance of the Interview" in the Interview Summary

### **CONCLUSION**

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Karen G. Hazzah/  
**Karen G. Hazzah**  
**Reg. No. 48,472**

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,**  
**HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1750  
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770)933-9500